Discrimination in the Labour Market: Causes and Remedies

IGWE, Chika Chimzi
Department of Management
Faculty of Management Sciences
School of Graduate Studies
University of Port Harcourt

Prof. Edwinah Amah
Department of Management
University of Port Harcourt

Abstract
Discrimination in the labor market is a pervasive issue that hampers equal opportunities and undermines social progress. This paper explores the causes and potential remedies for discrimination in the labor market. It begins by identifying various forms of discrimination, including those based on gender, race, ethnicity, age, and disability, and highlights their detrimental effects on individuals and society as a whole. The causes of discrimination in the labor market are multi-faceted and complex. They can be attributed to deep-rooted biases, stereotypes, and prejudices held by employers, as well as structural factors within organizations and societal norms. Moreover, unconscious biases and systemic inequalities further perpetuate discriminatory practices. Such discrimination not only deprives individuals of fair access to employment but also contributes to income disparities and exacerbates social inequality. The study concluded that discrimination is fueled by various causes, including prejudice, stereotypes, biases, and structural inequalities To address this issue, the study recommended that organizations should adopt inclusive policies and practices that actively promote diversity in their workforce. This includes implementing unbiased recruitment and hiring processes, establishing diversity targets, and creating inclusive work environments that value and respect individuals from all backgrounds

Keywords: Discrimination, Labour Market, Socio-Cultural, Political, Gender Discrimination, Ethnic Discrimination, Age Discrimination

Introduction

According to 2006 national population and housing census, Nigeria's population was 140.4 million (FGN, 2009). One of the issues that is likely to agitate the shrewd mind is the distribution of this work force in terms of age, sex and other demographic characteristics. The focus of this paper is more on the sex distribution. Women constitute about half of the population of the Nigerian State and are known to play vital roles as mothers, producers, managers, community

developers/organizers etc. Their contribution to the social and economic development of societies is also more than half as compared to that of men by virtue of their dual roles in the productive and reproductive spheres. Despite some progress over the last few decades, gender equality in employment remains an elusive goal in all societies. Women continue to face disadvantage and discrimination in all areas of economic life. Nevertheless, while one should not assume that all women want to work, it is safe to say that women want to be given the same freedom as men to choose to work if they want to; and if they do choose to work, they should have the same chance of finding decent jobs as men. The objective of this paper, therefore, is to highlight the importance of gender issue in understanding the labour market processes. Specifically, the paper focused on the gender inequality in employment in the country. It takes participations of women in politics and academics as case study. It also looks at the main factors that affects women participation rate in the country. Finally, the paper suggested some strategies for enhancing their participation, both in terms of quantity (rate of participation) and quality (decent work).

The governments of many developing countries in the last decade have focused on gender disparity problem and this disparity is found in employment in virtually all sectors of work. In Nigeria today, when we look at various positions of responsibilities, women are still not well represented. In fact, the country ranks 118 of 134 countries in the Gender Equality Index.Even when they are represented, they are discriminated against. No wonder the government has put a law that in every sector of work/ employment, women should take at least 30%. Even with this, there is rarely any organization that women have such; except in some professions that are considered feminine; like Nursing, primary teaching etc. According to World Development Report (2012) men's and women's jobs differ greatly, whether across sectors, industries, occupations, types of jobs, or types of firms.

For gender, there could be statistical discrimination for many reasons, and these considerations may vary by age. One possibility is gender stereotypes among employers about which occupations are "male" and "female" jobs, and these could be stronger or weaker depending on the worker's age. For younger workers, another possibility is that employers believe that women are more productive than men, especially in Western countries, where women, on average, tend to have more education and better grades than men (cf. Blau et al. 2014). On the other hand, employers could perceive younger female workers as less productive than younger male workers, e.g. because younger women, on average, tend to have more labor market disruptions due to family formation and child care. There could also be differences in how employers perceive older female and male workers. Women have a higher life expectancy and, on average, better health than men (cf. OECD 2013). Employers may, therefore, view older female workers as more productive than older male workers. Finally, employers could have preferences against hiring women and/or older women.

Gender equality is considered a critical element in achieving Decent Work for All Women and Men, in order to effect social and institutional change that leads to sustainable development with equity and growth. Gender equality refers to equal rights, responsibilities and opportunities that all persons should enjoy, regardless of whether one is born male or female. Discrimination takes many forms and has multiple outcomes. One of the most evident outcomes is to reduce the

rewards for effort and skill paid in the form of wages to those groups who are discriminated in the labour market. This form of discrimination has been found to affect women in all countries, although to varying extents. Reduction of the gender pay gap has been identified as a major objective of anti-discrimination and pro equal opportunities campaigns. It is an essential element of the ILO's programme to reduce discrimination, in line with the Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work – namely freedom to organize and negotiate conditions of work, freedom from forced or compulsory labour, freedom to protect children from requirements to work and freedom from discrimination in employment and occupation. This paper aims to contribute to our understanding of the forms of discrimination in the labour market: causes and remedies in an organizational settings in Nigeria

Statement of the Problem

The problems in the work place arising from discrimination are clearly evident to everybody. The ways to eliminate discrimination in the work place have not yet been fully identified. There has been great discrimination activities which appear in the form of hiring only men even in roles that women could rightly fit, employing based on the tribal card, a situation in which majority of firms in a particular state possess a strong inclination to employ individual from the same state rather than more qualified individuals which they liken to trust and security. Stunted growth of employees in terms of position and pay, as management usually discriminate towards promotion based on perception and are more likely to put certain set of individual below their actual pay grade as a result of discrimination largely called reasons best known to them (Alpert, 2011; Omoh et. al., 2015).

Where workplace discrimination is practiced, employees suffer retaliation for opposing them or for reporting violations to the authorities, this organizational vices is most common in our part of the world, that is, Africa and most especially Nigeria in particular. Discrimination results in and reinforces inequalities and could result in poor morale of employee, high turnover, poor commitment and subsequently result in negative impact on the organizational performance. The freedom of employee to develop their capabilities and to choose and pursue their professional and personal aspirations is restricted, skills and competence cannot be developed, rewards to work are denied and a sense of humiliation, frustration and powerlessness takes over (Olsen, 2004). This study therefore sees to investigates the discrimination in the labour market; its causes and remedies.

Objectives of the study

This study examines the discrimination in the labour market: causes and remedies. Specifically; the study further investigates;
1. Identify and analyze the causes of discrimination in the labor market
2. Examine the impact of discrimination on individuals and the labor market
3. Propose remedies and strategies to reduce discrimination in the labor market

Conceptual Review
Concept of Discrimination
The term "discrimination" comes from the Latin *discriminatio*, derived from the verb *discriminare* (meaning "to distinguish"), comprising the prefix *dis-* (indicating separation) and the root *crimen* (the act of distinguishing), in turn derived from the Indo-European root (to cut, separate, distinguish) (Ernout & Meillet, 1967). The term thus first had an essentially denotative meaning relating to a symbolic (or mental) operation on the real world intended to identify a class of objects in a given context where there are also other objects, which are not under consideration, because the class they constitute is only complementary to that of the objects considered. This makes the term "discrimination" synonymous with "distinguishing". The term is still used in logic and linguistics with this first denotative meaning to characterize the ability to perceive the relevant features of some portion of reality, as distinct from its surroundings (and from other portions of reality).

In this first sense in particular, "to discriminate" refers to a symbolic operation in principle free of valuational and normative connotation, relating to the quality of human interactions, which may be affected by conflicts with consequences, including suffering that is in principle avoidable and so morally open to question. To summarise, "discrimination" meaning "distinguishing" has no features relevant to the moral standpoint and, accordingly, is not an object of study for applied ethics and bioethics.

(b) There is, however, a second stage in the conceptualisation of "discrimination", where the word means not only "distinguishing", but gains the meanings of "diversification" and "differentiation", coming to be used for its suffix "-ation" to refer to actions among persons and populations in specific situations. At this point the term gained new meanings with significant social, political and ethical connotations. Concretely, in this second sense, the term "discrimination" has come to refer not only to knowing how to distinguish objects and situations (as in the first sense) so as not to confuse them, but also to the act of separating individuals or populations and, particularly, considering them as "different" as a result of their classification by some characteristic, such as sex, species, ethnicity or social class. This has entailed concrete attitudes that are considered, at least, morally and politically questionable, because they are accompanied by "stigmatisation" and exclusion of some kind, as is the case with sexism, homophobia, speciesism, racism and classism.

Clearly, distinguishing and separating/excluding are actions of two different types, with different political and moral implications. The cognitive skill of being able to distinguish, without necessarily separating, strictly has no significant ethical and political implications. Meanwhile, separating/excluding does, because it may involve actions with adverse consequences for those discriminated. This is why discrimination, in the second sense, has become an object for normative evaluation in conflicts between social actors who may be seen as "moral strangers", that is, as "persons who do not share sufficient moral premises or rules of evidence and inference to resolve moral controversies by sound rational argument, or who do not have a common commitment to individuals or institutions in authority to resolve moral controversies" (Engelhardt, 1996).

Concept of Labour Market
A simple definition of the labour market is given by Bosworth, Dawkins and Stromback (1996) who state that the labour market is the place where supply and demand meet, working to determine the price and quantity of the work performed. Didier (1997) defines the market as a means of communication through which sellers and buyers will inform each other about what they have, what they need and the prices that they ask or propose, before closing the transaction. This definition has great applicability on the labour market. The labour market is the market in which the amount of services that correspond to tasks well established in the job description, are offered for a price or remuneration (Boeri, Van Ours, 2013), that is, to exist on the labour market it is necessary for the work be rewarded. The labour market is and has to be regulated. In the dictionary of labour law (1997), Beligrădeanu and Stefanescu (1997) define the labour market as "the confrontation between the supply and demand of labour in a given time frame and a geographic area that is usually completed through employment (with an individual employment contract). The worker (employee) means the person exerts his/hers activity based on an employment contract in a public or private company or institution, receiving in exchange a payment. On the labour market, companies act like buyers on the one hand, but also as bidders with regard to payment, working conditions, and individuals act as sellers, rendering available to employers their knowledge, skills and experience gained. The labour market operates on the principle of competition, the workers competing against each other in view of obtaining or retaining a position. On the other hand, employers compete to attract and maintain within the organizations, the employees that are efficient in the development of the activity and as a result make profit. Authors like Pert (1990) or Nita Dobrotă (1997) consider that the labour market is the economic space in which equity holders trade freely, as buyers (the demand), and the owners of the human resource (the supply), as sellers, in which the price mechanism of the work, the real wage, the free competition between economic operators and other specific mechanisms, adjust the labour supply and demand. First and foremost, employees are not an abstract production factor, but human beings with families, desires and needs and only then, labour force (Samuelson, Nordhaus, 2001). The labour market is one of the main components of the market economy along with the goods and capital market. From an economic perspective the labour market is one of the components of the production forces (Zamfir & Vlăsceanu, 1993).

Factors Affecting Gender Inequality in Employment
There are various factors that are responsible for the inequality in the in relation to gender.
Education
Education is said to be a vehicle that break the shackles of poverty thereby leading to transformation, development and progress(Ikoni,2009). With the 2005 MDGs' first deadline for attainment of gender parity in primary and secondary schools' enrolment already missed, the ability of women and girls to empower themselves economically and socially by going to school, or by engaging in productive and civic activities is still being constrained by their responsibility for everyday tasks in the household division of labour (CEC Report 2007). In Nigeria, educational facilities are generally believed to be inadequate, and access, limited for many, especially girls and women. According to the United Nations Human Development Report (2005), Nigeria was classified as a low development country in respect of equality in educational accessibility. Female Adult Literacy Rate (ages 15 and above) for the country was 59.4% as against male, 74.4%; the

Combined Gross Enrolment for Primary, Secondary and Tertiary schools for female was 57% and male, 71%. Consequently, Ojo (2002) affirms that women are fewer than men in certain socio-economic activities. According to him, the percentages of female workers in some selected professions were as follow: architects, 2.4%, quantity surveyors, 3.5%, lawyers/jurists, 25.4%, lecturers, 11.8%, obstetricians and gynecologists, 8.4%, pediatricians, 33.3%, media practitioners, 18.3%.

However, Omolewa (2002) shows that this inequality has its root in the colonial system of education which was primarily geared toward meeting the manpower need of the colonial government that obviously alienated women from educational and economic opportunities. Women in Nigeria are harder-hit than men by poverty due to the non-challant emphasis placed on female education, and the prevalence of early marriage which tend to further impoverish the womenfolk, and subject them to statutory discrimination (Ojo 2002).

To Mamdani (1996), incidence of poverty is more rampant among the female-gender in Africa because of discrimination in educational opportunities. On the Gender-related Development Index (GDI), Nigeria ranks a disparaging 123rd position with the Estimated Earned Income for female as low as US $614 and the male, US $1,495 (UNDP 2005). Lack of education has been a strong visible barrier to female participation in the formal sector. The social pressures on females such as early marriages, and other extraneous factors as well as consideration of female education as secondary to that of boys and certain inhibitive religious practices in some parts of Nigeria are the major causes of the high illiteracy

Socio-Cultural And Political Factors Of Gender Discrimination

Since the advent of colonialism in Africa, women have always been exposed to varying forms of discrimination due to the simple fact of their 'femaleness', which ought to have been understood on the basis of its mutual usefulness (Obbo 2005). It is observed that most African countries have not had specific laws or policies to stem the tide of gender disparity. However, the colonial hegemonic philosophy, dependent political ideology and identifiable socio-economic exigencies are seen as factors aiding the prevailing distinctions between men and women in the world of work (Adeniran 2006).

Ideological Factor

Patriarchy as a system of male domination shapes women's relationship in all spheres including employment. It transforms male and females into men and women and construct the hierarchy of gender relations where men are privileged (Eisenstein 1984). Andrienne Rich defines patriarchy as: *"A familial-social, ideological, political system in which men by force, direct pressure or through ritual, tradition, law, and language, customs etiquette, education, and the division of labor, determine what part women shall or shall not play in which the female is everywhere subsumed under the male."* (Roc,1977)

There is need to explain the postulation in terms of the wife in a household. The model tries to equate family income to that of the husband alone despite the fact that most women contribute substantial amount to family income. The model further assumes that there is intra-family income transfer from the husband to the wife. If the income of the husband increases, it is possible for an

expanding intra household transfer of income from the husband to his wife to make her less interested in participating in labour market activities. This implies that women who receive little or no transfer of income from their husbands should be working harder to make ends meet, and therefore, participate more in labour market activities. Whether relatively poor women or rather women in the low income stratum of a society participate more in labour market activities than the relatively richer women is an interesting subject for another study. But suffice it for us to say that the opportunity cost of a woman not participating in the labour force is not limited to the income unearned but also to the career ambition unrealized. In other words, a woman may desire formal employment not only because of economic necessity but also to derive psychic satisfaction from her chosen profession.

Time
Time is a resource which can be devoted to productive activities, including market work, other (unpaid) work within the household, and child care, or it can be invested in personal activities like leisure. There are two basic ideas about time and its use within the household. The first is that households need to allocate a minimum amount of time to"survival-related" personal activities, such as cooking, sleeping, fetching water, or ensuring a minimum amount of consumption. Only after these tasks are taken care of can time be devoted to other activities (discretionary time). The second is allocation to paid employment. Women are always seen as suitable to the first idea of time. The society place the idea of doing the household core on them.

Type of Job
There is also employment segregation in terms of gender.There are significant and systematic differences between men's and women's jobs, whether across sectors, industries, occupations, types of jobs, or types of firms (the phrase "employment segregation by gender" refers to these differences). Women are more likely than men to work in agriculture and in services. The opposite is true for manufacturing. Women also are overrepresented among unpaid and wage workers and in the informal sector. Statistics has shown that women account for about 40 percent of the total global workforce, but 58 percent of all unpaid work, 44 percent of wage employment, and 50 percent of informal employment (Makama, 2013).These differences are also pervasive when comparing men and women within sectors—female and male farmers and entrepreneurs, and female and male wage workers. Women are more likely than men to own and operate smaller farms and to cultivate subsistence crops. Land holdings among female-headed households in rural areas are smaller than those of male-headed households in 15 of 16 countries analyzed, with average differences equal to or larger than 1.5 hectares (or 50 percent of the average plot size) in countries. In addition, men manage most of the commercial crops, although not without women's (often unpaid) contributions. And while women participate in commercial farming, they do so within a rather rigid division of tasks. Similarly, the large majority of micro, small, and medium enterprises are run by women.

Technology
Contemporary labour markets are shaped by globalisation, new information and communication technologies, new forms of employment arising from economic restructuring towards services and

new employment forms. New employment forms have arisen in the context of economic deregulation and include privatisation of public sector services, sub contracting of non core business and greater flexibility of working times, contracts, status and locations. These changes have expanded employment but simultaneously weakened the participation of women.

Discrimination against Different Groups in the Labour Market

Gender Discrimination: Gender discrimination refers to the unfair treatment of individuals based on their gender, particularly in the workplace. This can involve unequal pay, limited job opportunities, biased hiring practices, and discriminatory promotion policies. Gender discrimination persists in many societies, despite efforts to promote gender equality (ILO. 2019)

Racial and Ethnic Discrimination: Racial and ethnic discrimination occurs when individuals are treated unfairly or disadvantaged based on their race or ethnicity. This discrimination can manifest in various forms, such as hiring biases, wage disparities, limited access to education and training, and barriers to career advancement (Pager, D., Western, B., & Bonikowski, 2009; Bertrand, & Mullainathan, 2004).

Age Discrimination: Age discrimination involves treating individuals unfairly or unfavorably based on their age. It commonly affects older workers who may face challenges in finding employment, getting promoted, or receiving equitable treatment in the workplace. (Neumark, Burn, & Button, 2019)

Discrimination based on Disability: Discrimination based on disability refers to treating individuals with disabilities less favorably than those without disabilities. This discrimination can manifest in hiring decisions, workplace accommodations, promotion opportunities, and overall access to employment. (Blanck, Hill, & Siegal, 2019).

Discrimination against LGBTQ+ Individuals: Discrimination against LGBTQ+ individuals involves treating them unfairly or unequally based on their sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression. This discrimination can impact hiring decisions, workplace climate, access to benefits, and overall career opportunities (Carpenter, 2017).

Causes of Discrimination in the Labour Market

Discrimination in the labour market is a pervasive issue that continues to hinder progress towards a fair and equal society. Despite the growing emphasis on diversity and inclusion, numerous individuals still face discrimination based on factors such as race, gender, age, religion, disability, and other protected characteristics (Pager, 2007). This section aims to shed light on the underlying causes of discrimination in the labour market, exploring both individual and systemic factors that contribute to this social problem.

Prejudice and Stereotypes

Discrimination often stems from deeply ingrained prejudices and stereotypes that individuals hold. Preconceived notions and biases can affect hiring decisions, salary negotiations, and promotion

opportunities. Stereotypes such as women being less competent in certain industries or older workers being less adaptable can lead to discriminatory practices, limiting opportunities for those affected (Pager, 2007).

Implicit Bias
Implicit bias refers to unconscious attitudes or stereotypes that influence an individual's perception and actions, even without their conscious awareness (Greenwald et al., 1998). Research has shown that implicit bias can impact recruitment and selection processes, leading to unequal treatment of individuals from different backgrounds. These biases can manifest in subtle ways, such as favoring candidates with names associated with certain ethnicities or overlooking qualified individuals due to their gender or age.

Lack of Diversity in Leadership
The composition of leadership positions plays a crucial role in shaping the culture and practices within an organization. When there is a lack of diversity in leadership, decision-making processes may be biased, perpetuating discriminatory practices. Homogeneous leadership teams are more likely to hire and promote individuals who resemble themselves, thereby excluding candidates from diverse backgrounds (Kalev et al., 2006).

Educational Disparities
Educational disparities can contribute to discrimination in the labour market. Limited access to quality education, especially for marginalized communities, can result in a skills gap and hinder career advancement. Discrimination may also occur during the evaluation of educational credentials, where degrees from certain institutions or countries are undervalued or considered less credible, leading to unequal opportunities for individuals with diverse educational backgrounds.

Unconscious Bias in Hiring and Promotions
Unconscious bias can significantly influence hiring and promotion decisions. Hiring managers may unconsciously prefer candidates who share similar backgrounds or characteristics, inadvertently excluding others. Similarly, promotion decisions can be influenced by personal connections or assumptions about an individual's suitability for higher-level positions, perpetuating discrimination (Pager et al., 2009).

Wage Disparities
Wage disparities based on gender, race, or other protected characteristics persist in many labour markets. Studies have consistently shown that women and individuals from minority groups tend to earn less than their counterparts (Blau & Kahn, 2017). Factors contributing to wage disparities include gender stereotypes, occupational segregation, negotiation biases, and discriminatory pay practices. These disparities not only perpetuate inequality but also contribute to a cycle of limited economic mobility and opportunities for affected individuals.

Workplace Culture and Bias
The prevailing workplace culture within an organization can either foster inclusivity or perpetuate discrimination. Cultures that tolerate or even encourage discriminatory behavior create hostile environments for individuals from marginalized groups. Additionally, microaggressions, prejudiced jokes, and exclusionary practices contribute to a toxic work environment, discouraging diverse talent from entering or remaining in the labour market (Schmitt et al., 2002).

Lack of Anti-Discrimination Policies and Enforcement

Inadequate legal frameworks and ineffective enforcement mechanisms contribute to the persistence of discrimination in the labour market. When organizations lack robust anti-discrimination policies, individuals face higher risks of experiencing bias and unfair treatment. Additionally, weak enforcement mechanisms or the absence of meaningful consequences for discriminatory behavior create an environment where discrimination can flourish unchecked (Pager & Shepherd, 2008).

Strategies and Remedies to Address Discrimination

Education and Awareness Programs

One effective strategy is implementing educational initiatives that focus on diversity and inclusion. These programs can be integrated into school curricula, corporate training, and community workshops. By providing accurate and comprehensive information about different cultures, histories, and perspectives, individuals can develop a broader understanding of the experiences and struggles faced by marginalized groups. This knowledge can help dismantle stereotypes, biases, and prejudices, promoting acceptance and respect (Adams, Bell, & Griffin, 2007). Additionally, awareness programs can be used to facilitate open dialogues and discussions around discrimination. Panels, workshops, and guest speaker events can provide platforms for individuals to share their personal experiences and insights. These interactions foster empathy, as participants gain a deeper understanding of the challenges faced by those who have experienced discrimination firsthand. Such programs encourage individuals to question their own beliefs and biases, ultimately leading to more inclusive and tolerant attitudes (Plaut, Thomas, & Goren, 2009).

To enhance the impact of education and awareness programs, it is essential to leverage multiple mediums and channels of communication. Utilizing social media platforms, online resources, documentaries, and multimedia campaigns can reach larger audiences and engage diverse demographics. By creating visually appealing and emotionally impactful content, these programs can capture attention and inspire action against discrimination (Zárate, & Garcia, 2004). It is important to note that education and awareness programs alone are not sufficient to eliminate discrimination. These initiatives must be complemented by broader systemic changes, such as legislation, policies, and enforcement mechanisms,that actively combat discrimination and provide recourse for victims. Additionally, ongoing evaluation and assessment of these programs' effectiveness are necessary to ensure they remain relevant and impactful in addressing emerging forms of discrimination.

Education and awareness programs are powerful tools in the fight against discrimination. By fostering understanding, empathy, and critical thinking, these initiatives can challenge biases and promote inclusivity. Combined with broader systemic changes, they contribute to creating a more equitable and just society. To maximize their effectiveness, these programs should employ diverse communication channels and be continuously evaluated and adapted to address evolving discriminatory practices. Through these efforts, we can strive towards a world free from discrimination.

Implicit Bias Training

Implicit bias refers to the unconscious attitudes or stereotypes that influence our perceptions and behaviors, often leading to unintended discriminatory actions. Implicit bias training aims to help individuals recognize and challenge these biases by providing education, self-reflection exercises, and interactive discussions. The training typically focuses on increasing awareness, promoting empathy, and fostering a more inclusive mindset. Research suggests that implicit bias training can be an effective tool in reducing discriminatory behavior. A meta-analysis by Devine and colleagues (2012) found that such training had a small to moderate positive impact on reducing implicit bias and discriminatory outcomes. By promoting self-reflection and perspective-taking, individuals can develop a deeper understanding of their own biases and become more conscious of their decision-making processes.

Implicit bias training offers several benefits. Firstly, it helps create a more inclusive and equitable environment by sensitizing individuals to the impact of biases on their judgments and actions. Secondly, it enhances intergroup relations and fosters empathy, leading to improved interactions between people from different backgrounds. Lastly, implicit bias training can potentially lead to systemic changes by influencing organizational policies and practices, thereby reducing discrimination at institutional levels. Implicit bias training is a valuable strategy to address discrimination by targeting the root causes of biased behavior. While it is not a panacea, it can contribute to creating a more inclusive society. To maximize its effectiveness, it is essential to ensure that implicit bias training is integrated into comprehensive diversity and inclusion initiatives, backed by organizational commitment, ongoing evaluation, and reinforcement of learning outcomes.

Improving Hiring and Recruitment Practices

Improving hiring and recruitment practices is a crucial strategy in addressing discrimination and promoting diversity and inclusivity in the workplace. By implementing effective measures during the hiring process, organizations can ensure fairness, equal opportunities, and unbiased decision-making (Society for Human Resource Management. 2021). Here are some key strategies and remedies to achieve these goals:

i.   **Structured and Transparent Hiring Processes**: Implementing standardized procedures and criteria helps minimize subjective judgments and biases. Clearly defining job requirements, qualifications, and evaluation metrics allows for a fair and consistent assessment of all applicants.

ii. **Diverse Recruitment Sources**: Expanding recruitment efforts to include a wider range of sources helps attract a more diverse pool of candidates. Utilizing platforms, networks, and organizations that focus on minority groups or underrepresented communities can increase access to qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds.

iii. **Unbiased Job Advertisements**: Ensure that job advertisements use inclusive language and avoid any discriminatory statements or requirements that could deter certain groups from applying. Advertisements should focus on the essential skills and qualifications needed for the role rather than specific demographics.

iv. **Implicit Bias Training**: Provide training programs to hiring managers and recruitment teams to raise awareness of unconscious biases that may influence decision-making. Educating individuals about the impact of biases and techniques to mitigate them can lead to fairer evaluations of candidates.

v. **Diverse Interview Panels**: Forming interview panels that consist of individuals from diverse backgrounds can help reduce bias and provide different perspectives. Multiple interviewers can collectively assess candidates and contribute to fairer evaluations.

vi. **Regular Evaluation and Monitoring**: Continuously assess and monitor the effectiveness of recruitment practices to identify any disparities or discriminatory patterns. Regularly review hiring metrics, such as applicant demographics and hiring outcomes, to ensure equal opportunities for all applicants.

These strategies, when implemented consistently and in conjunction with other anti-discrimination measures, can significantly contribute to fostering a more inclusive and diverse workforce.

Promoting Diversity and Inclusion in the Workplace

Promoting diversity and inclusion in the workplace is crucial for creating a positive and productive work environment that fosters innovation, creativity, and collaboration. According to Catalyst (2022) to address discrimination and promote diversity and inclusion, organizations can adopt various strategies and remedies:

i. **Implementing Inclusive Policies**: Establishing policies that prohibit discrimination based on factors such as race, gender, ethnicity, religion, age, sexual orientation, and disability is essential. These policies should be communicated clearly to all employees and enforced consistently.

ii. **Diversity Training and Awareness Programs**: Conducting regular diversity training sessions and workshops can help increase awareness and educate employees about unconscious biases, stereotypes, and the importance of inclusion. These programs can promote empathy, understanding, and respectful behavior among employees.

iii. **Recruiting and Hiring Practices**: Organizations should implement inclusive recruitment and hiring practices to attract diverse talent. This can include using diverse sourcing channels, eliminating biased language in job descriptions, employing diverse interview panels, and implementing blind resume screening processes.

iv. **Creating Employee Resource Groups (Ergs)**: Establishing ERGs can provide a platform for employees from marginalized groups to connect, share experiences, and contribute to the organization's diversity and inclusion efforts. ERGs can also offer mentorship and support to underrepresented employees.

v. **Leadership Commitment and Accountability**: Leaders should demonstrate their commitment to diversity and inclusion through their actions, allocating resources, and setting diversity goals. They should hold themselves and others accountable for fostering an inclusive workplace culture.

vi. **Regular Assessment and Feedback**: Conducting regular assessments to measure diversity and inclusion progress can help identify areas for improvement. Employee surveys and feedback mechanisms can provide valuable insights into the workplace climate and help identify any existing or potential discrimination issues.

Theoretical Framework

Social Psychology Perspective Theory (Asch, 1951)

Social psychology is a field of study that focuses on how people's thoughts, feelings, and behaviors are influenced by the presence of others. It examines how individuals perceive, interpret, and interact with one another within social contexts. The theory of social psychology perspective provides valuable insights into understanding human behavior, shedding light on the intricate dynamics of social influence, attitudes, stereotypes, prejudice, conformity, and group processes. This essay will delve into the key concepts of social psychology and discuss their relevance in real-world contexts. One prominent concept within social influence is conformity, which is the tendency to adjust one's beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors to align with those of a group. Asch's classic conformity experiments (Asch, 1951) demonstrated the powerful impact of social pressure on individual decision-making. This research highlighted the tendency of individuals to conform even in situations where they knew the group's response was incorrect. Understanding conformity is crucial for comprehending phenomena such as peer pressure, groupthink, and social norms.

Attitudes are evaluations people hold about various objects, individuals, or ideas. Social psychology examines how attitudes are formed, changed, and how they influence behavior. The elaboration likelihood model (Petty & Cacioppo, 1986) provides insights into the processes by which individuals are persuaded. It suggests that people can be influenced through either a central route, where they thoughtfully consider the arguments presented, or a peripheral route, where they are swayed by superficial cues. This model has practical applications in advertising, political campaigns, and public health interventions, as it helps understand how to effectively change attitudes and behaviors.

Social psychology investigates the formation and consequences of stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination. Stereotypes are simplified beliefs about social groups, while prejudice refers to negative feelings or attitudes towards certain groups. Discrimination involves behaviors directed

against individuals based on their group membership. The social identity theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) proposes that individuals strive to maintain a positive social identity by categorizing themselves and others into in-groups and out-groups.

The theory of social psychology perspective offers valuable insights into understanding human behavior in social contexts. By examining social influence, attitudes and persuasion, stereotypes and prejudice, and group processes, social psychology provides a framework

Conclusion

Discrimination in the labor market remains a persistent and complex issue that has detrimental effects on individuals, organizations, and society as a whole. It is fueled by various causes, including prejudice, stereotypes, biases, and structural inequalities. Although progress has been made in addressing discrimination, there is still a long way to go in achieving equal opportunities and fair treatment for all individuals in the workplace.

Recommendations:

1. Promote diversity and inclusion: Organizations should adopt inclusive policies and practices that actively promote diversity in their workforce. This includes implementing unbiased recruitment and hiring processes, establishing diversity targets, and creating inclusive work environments that value and respect individuals from all backgrounds.

2. Raise awareness and provide training: Educating employees about the impacts of discrimination and providing training on diversity and inclusion can help combat biases and stereotypes. By fostering a culture of understanding and empathy, organizations can encourage employees to challenge their own biases and promote equal treatment and opportunities for all.

3. Strengthen anti-discrimination laws: Governments and policymakers should review and strengthen existing anti-discrimination laws to ensure comprehensive protection against all forms of discrimination in the labor market. This includes addressing intersectional discrimination and providing effective legal remedies for victims of discrimination.

4. Empower individuals and promote equal opportunities: Programs that provide mentorship, skills training, and career development opportunities for marginalized groups can help bridge the gaps created by discrimination. Encouraging entrepreneurship and supporting initiatives that promote economic empowerment for underrepresented individuals can also contribute to reducing inequalities in the labor market.

References

AARP. (2020). The value of experience: Age diversity and the workplace. Retrieved from https://www.aarp.org/research/topics/economics/info-2020/value-of-experience-age-diversity workplace.html

Adams, M., Bell, L. A., & Griffin, P. (Eds.). (2007). Teaching for diversity and social justice. Routledge.

Adeniran, A. (2006). "A Non-Dependent Framework for Development", Thisday, Wednesday, August 23, 2006,. 45

Asch, S. E. (1951). Effects of group pressure upon the modification and distortion of judgment. In H. Guetzkow (Ed.), Groups, leadership and men: Research in human relations (177-190). Carnegie Press.

Bertrand, M., & Mullainathan, S. (2004). Are Emily and Greg more employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A field experiment on labor market discrimination. The American Economic Review, 94(4), 991-1013. doi:10.1257/0002828042002561

Blanck, P., Hill, E., & Siegal, C. (2019). Employment of people with disabilities. The Oxford Handbook of U.S. Disability Policy, 387-405. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190620312.013.17

Blau, F. D., & Kahn, L. M. (2017). The gender wage gap: Extent, trends, and explanations. Journal of Economic Literature, 55(3), 789-865.

Boeri, Tito, & Jan van (2013) Ours - The Economics of Imperfect Labour Markets, Second Edition, A Princeton University Press, New Jersey.

Bosworth, D. Dawkins, P. & Stromback, T. (1996)- The Economics of the Labour Market, Harlow: Addison Wesley   Longman Ltd.,.

Catalyst. (2022). Strategies to Address Gender and Racial Bias in Hiring. Retrieved from https://www.catalyst.org/research/strategies-address-gender-racial-bias-hiring/Diversity Best Practices. (n.d.). Employee Resource Groups: Types, Benefits, and Examples. Retrieved from https://www.diversitybestpractices.com/employee-resource-groups

CEC Report (2007). Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment in Development Cooperation, Commission of European Communities, (SEC 2007) 332), Brussels, March 2007.

Devine, P. G., Forscher, P. S., Austin, A. J., & Cox, W. T. (2012). Long-term reduction in implicit race bias: A prejudice habit-breaking intervention. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 48(6), 1267-1278. doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2012.06.003

Didier, M. (1994) The economy: rules of the game, Ed. Humanitas, Bucureşti,

Dobrotă, N. (1997) Political economy, Ed. Economică, Bucureşti,

Engelhardt, H. T. (1996). The Foundations of Bioethics, 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press.

Ernout, A. & Meillet, A. (1967). Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine. Histoire des mots. Paris: Librairie Kliencksieck.

Ernout, A. & Meillet, A. 1967. Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine. Histoire des mots. Paris: Librairie Kliencksieck

Greenwald, A. G., McGhee, D. E., & Schwartz, J. L. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The Implicit Association Test. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74(6), 1464-1480.

Ikoni, U. D. (2009). The Challenges of Poverty in a Globalised World: Perspective on the Nigerian Situation. Longman publishers

International Labour Organization (ILO). (2019). Women and men in the informal economy: A statistical picture (4th ed.). Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org/global/publications/books/WCMS_678739/lang--en/index.htm

Kalev, A., Dobbin, F., & Kelly, E. (2006). Best practices or best guesses? Assessing the efficacy of corporate affirmative action and diversity policies. American Sociological Review, 71(4), 589-617.

Neumark, D., Burn, I., & Button, P. (2019). Age discrimination and hiring of older workers. The National Bureau of Economic Research. doi:10.3386/w21669

Obbo, E. (2005). "Gender Equality, Human Rights and Development", in CODESRIA Bulletin, Special Issue, Re-thinking African Development: Beyond Impasse, Towards Alternatives, 6-10 December 2005, Maputo, Mozambique.

Ojo, A. (2002). "Socio-Economic Situation", in Africa Atlases (Nigeria), Paris-France, Les Editions J.A., 126-127.

Omolewa, M. (2002). "Education", in Africa Atlases (Nigeria) Paris-France, Les Editions J.A., 115-118.

Pager, D. (2007). The Use of Field Experiments for Studies of Employment Discrimination: Contributions, Critiques, and Directions for the Future. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 609(1), 104-133.

Pager, D., & Shepherd, H. (2008). The Sociology of Discrimination: Racial Discrimination in Employment, Housing, Credit, and Consumer Markets. Annual Review of Sociology, 34, 181-209.

Pager, D., Western, B., & Bonikowski, B. (2009). Discrimination in a Low-Wage Labor Market: A Field Experiment. American Sociological Review, 74(5), 777-799.

Pager, D., Western, B., & Bonikowski, B. (2009). Discrimination in a low-wage labor market: A field experiment. American Sociological Review, 74(5), 777-799. doi:10.1177/000312240907400505

Perț, S. (1990) Labour market. Concept. Characteristics. Trends. Work published in "Economic studies and research"

Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 19, 123-205.

Plaut, V. C., Thomas, K. M., & Goren, M. J. (2009). Is multiculturalism or color blindness better for minorities? Psychological Science, 20(4), 444-446.

Roc. A (1977), Of Women Born: Motherhood as Experience and Institution, London. Virago Press,'

Samuelson, P. A., Nordhaus, W. D. (2001) – Political economy, Ed. Teora, Bucureşti,.

Schmitt, M. T., Branscombe, N. R., Kobrynowicz, D., & Owen, S. (2002). Perceiving discrimination against one's gender group has different implications for well-being in women and men. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28(2), 197-210.

SHRM. (2019). Strategies to Promote Diversity and Inclusion in the Workplace. Retrieved from https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/behavioral-competencies/global-and-

cultural-effectiveness/pages/5-strategies-to-promote-diversity-and-inclusion-in-the-workplace.aspx

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), The social psychology of intergroup relations (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.

UNDP (2005). Human Development Report, New York, University Press.

Zajonc, R. B. (1965). Social facilitation. Science, 149(3681), 269-274.

Zárate, M. A., & Garcia, R. (2004). A critical examination of the "Contact Hypothesis" in light of social identity and power. American Behavioral Scientist, 47(7), 963-984.